This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/760,028	01/12/2001	Stuart Berkowitz	668437600004 1857		
7590 06/25/2004			EXAMINER		
Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue			DUONG, THOMAS		
North Point 901 Lakeside Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Cleveland, OH 44114			2143		

DATE MAILED: 06/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ï									
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	V				
Office Action Summary		09/760,028		BERKOWITZ ET AL.	JW				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Thomas Duong		2143					
Period :	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap for Reply	opears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	s				
A SI THE - Ex afti - If N - Fa	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period lure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu y reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. .136(a). In no event, howe ply within the statutory mini d will apply and will expire S tite, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status									
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	January 2001.							
2a)[is action is non-fina	al.						
3)									
Dispos	tion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.	awn from considera							
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examir		_						
10)∑	The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 January 2001</u> is/ar								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				404(4)				
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correl The oath or declaration is objected to by the I								
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)[6	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been rece nts have been rece iority documents ha eau (PCT Rule 17.2)	ived. ived in Applicati ive been receive (a)).	ion No ed in this National Staç	ge				
Attachme	ent(s)								
1) 🔀 No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary						
3) 🔲 Inf	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 oer No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152	?)				
C D-tt-	Trademark Office								

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative. The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Drawings

2. The drawing is objected to under 37 CFR 1.84(h)(5) because modules 208 and 216 of figure 8 show the same view. Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an

Application/Control Number: 09/760,028

Art Unit: 2143

amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. <u>Claims 1 and 27</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dunn et al. (US005999612A).
- 5. With regard to *claims 1 and 27*, Dunn reference discloses,
 - a first connection port to allow a speech-based conversation to occur over the home-based broadband connection (cable network 21) to the Internet network;
 (Dunn, col.2, lines 16-27, lines 32-42; col.3, lines 53-56; col.15, lines 39-57; fig.2;
 Dunn teaches of an adapter that includes ports for connecting to the Internet through the broadband network of the service provider and for connecting to the

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/760,028

Art Unit: 2143

public switched telephone network (PSTN). Furthermore, the adapter allows telephone calls to be routed through the Internet or the PSTN telephony network)

- a second connection port to allow a speech-based conversation to occur over a public switched telephone network (PSTN) (LEC/PSTN 28); and (Dunn, col.2, lines 16-27, lines 32-42; col.3, lines 57-61; col.15, lines 39-57; fig.2; Dunn teaches of an adapter that includes ports for connecting to the Internet through the broadband network of the service provider and for connecting to the public switched telephone network (PSTN). Furthermore, the adapter allows telephone calls to be routed through the Internet or the PSTN telephony network)
- a plurality of speech engines that recognize speech and synthesize speech to
 allow the speech-based conversations to occur over the first connection port and
 the second connection port. (Dunn, col.2, lines 32-42; col.6, lines 65-67; modules
 54-57, fig.4; Dunn teaches of an adapter that includes microphone and speaker
 devices for providing telephone services through the Internet via the broadband
 network of the service provider or through the public switched telephone network
 (PSTN). Thus, Dunn suggests of speech engines to allow telephone calls to be
 routed through the Internet or the PSTN telephony network via the adapter)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/760,028

Art Unit: 2143

Claims 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn et al.
 (US005999612A) and in view of Vander Molen (US004520576).

- 8. With regard to claims 1 and 27, Dunn reference discloses,
 - a first connection port to allow a speech-based conversation to occur over the home-based broadband connection (cable network 21) to the Internet network;
 (Dunn, col.2, lines 16-27, lines 32-42; col.3, lines 53-56; col.15, lines 39-57; fig.2;
 Dunn teaches of an adapter that includes ports for connecting to the Internet through the broadband network of the service provider and for connecting to the public switched telephone network (PSTN). Furthermore, the adapter allows telephone calls to be routed through the Internet or the PSTN telephony network)
 - a second connection port to allow a speech-based conversation to occur over a public switched telephone network (PSTN) (LEC/PSTN 28); and (Dunn, col.2, lines 16-27, lines 32-42; col.3, lines 57-61; col.15, lines 39-57; fig.2; Dunn teaches of an adapter that includes ports for connecting to the Internet through the broadband network of the service provider and for connecting to the public switched telephone network (PSTN). Furthermore, the adapter allows telephone calls to be routed through the Internet or the PSTN telephony network)

However, Dunn reference does not clearly disclose,

 a plurality of speech engines that recognize speech and synthesize speech to allow the speech-based conversations to occur over the first connection port and the second connection port.

Vander Molen teaches,

a plurality of speech engines that recognize speech and synthesize speech to
 allow the speech-based conversations to occur over the first connection port and

Application/Control Number: 09/760,028

Art Unit: 2143

the second connection port. (Vander Molen, col.2, lines 15-33; col.4, lines 7-23;
Vander Molen teaches of conversational voice command control system for a home appliance that includes speech recognition and synthesis modules)
Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine Vander Molen reference with Dunn reference to enable the cable network providers to compete with the PSTN in offering telephony services. By allowing for seamlessly and effective integration of the existing telephony functions via speech recognition and synthesis modules, broadband providers can offer telephony services over their broadband networks or the PSTN network.

 With regard to <u>claims 2-5 and 28-29</u>, Dunn and Vander Molen references disclose the invention substantially as claimed,

See claims 1 and 27 rejection as detailed above.

Furthermore, Van Molen reference discloses,

- an appliance control software module that controls at least one home appliance
 based upon the user's voice command. (Vander Molen, col.2, lines 15-33; col.4,
 lines 7-23; Vander Molen teaches of conversational voice command control
 system for a home appliance that includes speech recognition and synthesis
 modules)
- 10. With regard to *claims 12-16*, Dunn and Vander Molen references disclose the invention substantially as claimed,

See claims 1 and 27 rejection as detailed above.

Furthermore, Dunn reference discloses,

Application/Control Number: 09/760,028

Art Unit: 2143

- wherein the computer operates within a residential home of a user. (Dunn, col.2, line 65 – col.3, line 4)
- 11. <u>Claims 6-11 and 30-32</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn et al. (US005999612A), in view of Vander Molen (US004520576) and further in view of Kurganov et al. (US006721705B2).
- 12. With regard to <u>claims 6-11 and 30-32</u>, Dunn and Vander Molen references disclose the invention substantially as claimed,

See claims 1 and 27 rejection as detailed above.

However, Dunn and Vander Molen references do not explicitly disclose,

 a personal software application retrieval module that retrieves personal information from a software application based upon the personal software application voice command of the user.

Kurganov teaches,

a personal software application retrieval module that retrieves personal
information from a software application based upon the personal software
application voice command of the user. (Kurganov, col.2, lines 59-63; col.5, lines
48-53; Kurganov teaches of a system that includes a database containing user
profile information to assist the system in searching and retrieving information
according to the user's voice commands)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine Kurganov reference with Dunn and Vander Molen references to enhance the system by including a database which contains

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/760,028

Art Unit: 2143

user profile information to assist the system in searching and retrieving information according to the user's voice commands.

- 13. <u>Claims 17-26 and 33-41</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn et al. (US005999612A), in view of Vander Molen (US004520576) and further in view of Ball et al. (US006600736B1).
- 14. With regard to <u>claims 17-26 and 33-41</u>, Dunn and Vander Molen references disclose the invention substantially as claimed,

See claims 1 and 27 rejection as detailed above.

However, Dunn and Vander Molen references do not explicitly disclose,

a voice markup language management module connected to the Internet network
in order to retrieve a voice markup language program to interact by a speechbased conversation with the user over the first and second connections.

Ball teaches,

a voice markup language management module connected to the Internet network
in order to retrieve a voice markup language program to interact by a speechbased conversation with the user over the first and second connections. (Ball,
col.14, lines 43-44)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine Ball reference with Dunn and Vander Molen references to enhance the system by utilizing the voice markup language to format the information retrieved by the system at the user's voice command.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/760,028 Page 9

Art Unit: 2143

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- Mazurkiewicz et al. (US005749072A)
- Koyama (US006226361B1)
- Jeon et al. (US005822012A)
- Kahn et al. (US005838665A)
- Creamer et al. (US006028917A)

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Duong whose telephone number is 703/305-1886. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30AM - 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on 703/308-5221. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703/872-9306 for

regular communications and 703/872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/305-3900.

Thomas Duong (AU2143)

June 15, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100